International Journal of Engineering Research & Management Technology
ISSN: 2348-4039
ICRTSHE-September-2020/ Conf. Issue/ Article No-11/39-47
Email:editor@ijermt.org www.ijermt.org

ANALYZING RECENT TRENDS IN MATHEMATICS EDUCATION AND RESEARCH

G. K. Patil
Head, Dept. of Mathematics
ACS College, Shankarnagar,Tq.-Bololi,
Dist.-Nanded, Maharahstra

ABSTRACT:
Mathematics is a universal language, helps us to have analytical thinking. Basically, Mathematics is the science that manages the rationale of shape, amount and game plan.Math is present around us, as everything we do. It is the building block for the whole of our day- today life, As such in mobile phones, earliest architecture and present time architecture, athematic, currency, engineering and sports also. In our work/paper we are considering the trends in mathematical education and how this kind of trends could modify education. In the last 50 years mathematical activity has replaced a lot. A few of these replacements are like the utilization of PCs, are truly detectable and are presence accomplished in numerical training copiously.So, we analyze various trends of mathematics education and come to an end to their limitation beneficial matrices and these trends will be more defined in the future.

Keywords: - Mathematics; Mathematical Trends; Analytical Thinking; Education Trends**.**

INTRODUCTION

Mathematics originated from Greek word which defines "knowledge, study and learning" and contains the study of such topics as quantity, structure algebra, space, geometry, and mathematical analysis [1-5]. Mathematics is described as that it is a science which trades in management with quantity, shape and arrangement. Math is present all around us, as everything we do. It is the elementary unit for the entirety of our day today life, As the mathematical structures are a good design of existing facts, mathematical interpretation could be used to give insight or prognoses about nature. Out of the other side the utilization of abstraction and logic, math evaluated from counting, measurement and the structural review of the shapes and movements of the physical body. Realistically math has been a human activity apart from written records.

The research necessary to resolve mathematical problems can take lifespan or even decades of carry inquiry.

From the long-ago basis of recorded past records, math discovery has been at the forefront of each civilized community & in use even the almost elemental of cultures. The needs of math emerge based on the requirements of society. The greater complex a community, the greater complex the mathematical requirements. Primitive tribes needed little greater than the ability to count, but also depend on math to compute the position of the sun and the physics of hunting. China, India, Egypt, Central America and Mesopotamia contributed to mathematics as we know it presently. The Sumerians were the first humans to develop a counting theory. Mathematicians developed arithmetic, which implicate elementary operations, *, fractions and square roots. The Sumerians' theory passed through the Akkadian Empire to the Babylonians around 300 B.C. After 600 years, in America, the Mayans found elaborate calendar systems and were skilled astronomers. Around this time, the concept of "0" was developed.

The laws of mathematics execute all things throughout us & without a good understanding of it, one can encounter significant trouble in life.

- Math encourages us to have explanatory reasoning and Analytical reasoning builds up the capacity to examine and know reality with regards to our general surroundings.
- Mathematics guides us to have better problem-resolving techniques.
- Learning mathematics is good for our brain develops the ability to think
- With the help of mathematics, we can explain how things work
- Mathematics is essential for finances and in order not to lose money
- Mathematics is fundamental in a world of regular and steady change.
- Mathematics helps us tell time.
- Math is presented all around us and which helps us acquire the world better.

The Mathematical field (explore, applications, education, written description) has replaced the last five decades. Some changes resemble the utilization of PCs are entirely obvious and are being completed in scientific training extensively. It has been advised that digital devices, united with relevant study, may have the potential to address a few problems generally combined with math study, containing scope to aid realistic, analytic and collaborative approaches to teaching and learning, hence providing coherency & circumstances for the mathematics [6][7]. In any case, numerous creators recommend that in spite of the fact that utilization of innovation in the science homeroom is expanding, the results of its usage don't satisfy their apparent potential to enhance the learning experience [8][9]. In order to explore why this can be the case, this study suggests a synthesis about common characteristics of current, empirical research studies give on account of technology acceptance in mathematics science.

The vital part of this paper firstly express the brief introduction about mathematics and whether it's importance in our day-to-day personal and educational present time, and then presents a framework research and inquiry of mathematics study with orderly analysis of various interventions in different research papers that consider practical studies in technology-improve math science by different author and researchers. And then conclude today's trends in education and render of technology on these approaches and trends of mathematics education.

BACKGROUND OF MATHEMATICAL AIM

This segment is locked in to direct a wide structure to the territory of research where this paper is found. It closes an engaged assessment of a portion of the issues related with arithmetic instruction, sought after by an assessment of how innovation expanding science training may can possibly address a portion of these issues.The suggestion section develops these concepts, highlighting the aspects of technology-grown math education that are treated to constitute excellent exercise.

Nowadays educational program reforms recognize that an aspect of mathematical ability as completely associated with procedures and ideas that can be acquired with practice, is naive and not complete [10]. There are uniformly important forms of math proficiency that recite metacognitive ability like imagination and solve problems. However, there remnant an unfortunately common belief that mathematics is a group of different facts, rules, & 'tricks' that are "hard, right or wrong, routinised and boring" [11] & that mathematics education is about memorization and execution of procedures that should lead to uncommon and unquestioned correct answers [7][12]. This has contributed to a behavioral way of teaching and learning, with a prominence on formal, abstract mathematics remaining controlling in many countries. In this framework, the mentor is frequently looked at as the complete expert on the subject, their basic purpose being the transmission of facts to the scholars.

The occurrence of appropriate papers was analyzed, and a number of styles have been noted as follows.

THE USE OF STUDENT-CENTERED LEARNING METHODS:
Constructivelyis about self-building of information: a student-centered way to examine to play an vital role in this procedure. Papers advertise student centered ways sometimes root their research specifically in constructivist theory [13][14] others only by association [15][16]. Over the years a lot of student-centered education such as Inquiry/ Problem/Project Based Learning (I/P/PBL) techniques have been grown and investigated; these approaches are often conducted in teams or small categories of students, but also in an individual mode. Such approaches have been more generally used, specifically where mathematics is worried, in school level education. For example, ways of problem solving, and associated examining were broadly discussed in the mathematics education literature in the early 1980s, often indicating the work of Polya, 1945 and demonstrate frameworks for exploratory activity in mathematics [17][18]. Inquiry as a way to teach and learn mathematics has seen broad consideration globally [19][20].

In spite of the recurrent reports of positive impact of student-centered way in mathematics education. Ward et al., 2010 [21] signify a decreased attitude approaching the subject in an inquiry-based learning mathematics program. This signifies the requirement for further investigations of these approaches. It should be eminent also, that conflicting discovery could be related to the highly heterogeneous nature of the analysis due to dissimilarity in the investigations, the pedagogical implementations used, and different assessment devices and evaluation approaches as well as to student attitudes and idea of the purposes of the programmed. These carefulness suggest broadly the frame of examination from one aim attention at individuals constructing their own information to a frame that is more encompassing of these more culturalproblems.. We return back to this theme in our appendix.

CONTEXTUALIZATION OF MATHEMATICS WITH ACTUAL-WORLD EXAMPLES:
A vital pillar in constructivist pedagogy is contextualizing study utilizing a genuine environment and actual-world examples. A maximum number of students have problems in associating mathematics to actual world applications and this could be a logic for lack of achievement in mathematics [15].Formulation mathematics appropriate in specific for non-expert, has been stressed in a number of studies [15][22][23][24]. Using genuine and real-world examples is considered important in a student-centered way such as PBL [13]. Real-time information like room temperature and moistness were used in a problem-based learning approach to calculus by Niu et al., 2010 [25]. Real data from an experimental pendulum rig for representing a real-world framework in mathematical fashion course direction was followed by Reid and King, 2009 [26].

Aydin, 2009 [27] contextual abstract scheme from algebra and number theory system, directed in a mathematics course for authority, by using computer science and engineering examples from cryptography and coding approach. Chang, 2011 [15] make use of image processing examples from computer science to contextual abstract schemes from linear algebra in a mathematics course for mathematics specialists. In a control theory course, an engineering subject that is mathematically intensive, distant experiments have been used in the classroom to visualize and show the relevance of the differential equations used in put into action control algorithms [28].

Contextualizing mathematics has been reported again and again to embellish students' experience [15][22][23][27]. The most favorable mathematics courses are considered to be particular that have been well unified in the engineering curriculum to help the progress of contextual pertinence of mathematical brief to engineering idea [29]. It is thought to be vital to collude between mathematics mentors and personnel from science and engineering domains for creating contextualized mathematical series [23].

BRIDGING THE BREACH IN PRIOR MATHEMATICAL INFORMATION:

One of the vital pillars in constructivist pedagogy is to form depending on prior knowledge. A lot of STEM higher education students come into universities with a breach in essential information of mathematical subject matter; this can significantly prevent the introduction of fresh mathematical concepts through novel ways. Turner, 2009 [30] outline a figure of a program of 3 levels of predictor-corrector-refinement for upholding first year change in a calculus course (forecast of accomplishment in calculus, depend on diagnostic testing; fixing of errors depend on a web- based pre-calculus course). However, it was seen not to be completely successful because of breach in students' knowledge. The author conveys advice that coming research is desired into figures and interventions for bridging the breach in prior mathematical information.

ENCOURAGING DISCOURSE IN CLASSROOM AND BETWEEN STUDENTS:

A prominence on dissertation, as in a public constructivist view correlated to Vygotskian principles [31] has been seen as vital to the teaching and learning method. Prior teaching methods, passive teaching methods into the classroom or lessons allow little time, if any, for discussions and dialogue among students themselves and/or with the mentor. inactive lectures are criticized for lots of factors; for particular, Chang, 2011[15] recommended a structure of mathematics teaching and learning in lectures that encourages lecturers to provoke dis-course in the classroom via querying thought-provoking questions; author recommends that lectures should constitute two sided communication and lecturers should developed better listeners. Encouraging discourse among students was an important element in a calculus reform course [14]. Jaworski and Matthews, 2011 [32] report the use of small group analysis of inquiry-dependent mathematics issues to build theoretical understanding among scholars.

INCREASE OF STUDENTS' MOTIVATION, COMMITMENT AND SELF-EFFICACY:

Affective aspects in students' learning involve individuality-efficacy acceptance, inspiration, and approach approaching mathematics; these aspects play a vital role in success or lack of success of mathematical study. Lots of students, ahead with an abundant population, consider mathematics profoundly briefly and uninteresting [33][34][35]. Ward et al., 2010 [21] enumerated a lot of adverse approaches towards mathematics they noticed in their students like mathematics scope is genetically innate; math is useless for maximum employment & is all about memorizing.Some scholars have stated correlation between opinions about math and mathachievements. Abate and Cantone, 2005 [22] advice that rectify in mathematics teaching should work on embellish scholars' inspiration towards the subject.

Hekimoglu et al., 2010 [36] growing students in the classroom by using videos,self-efficacy opinion towards mathematics. The interpretation marked that using the documentary has resulted in significant improvement in exam accomplishment, including enhancement in retention rate, increased risk taking and thoughtful reflections. In a study of students' engagement with mathematics in an IBL way of mathematical instruction against historic procedures, Fielding-Wells et al., 2008 [33] found meaningful higher interest and destroy of disappointment towards mathematics when using the IBL method. Student-centered ways, in common, and stated to improve students' inspiration in mathstudy [14][35].

CONSIDERATION OF DISTINCTNESS IN LEARNING MANNER:

Scholars supporting idea constructivist pedagogies recognized that single people learn with their own choices, they emphasize catching into con- sideration the differences between trainees when designing teaching and learning exercises.Analyze in learning and subjective science has resulted in a count of various models of study levels; for example, the Index of Learning Styles (ILS) model [37], the 4MAT learning style model [38], the VARK learning style model [39] and the numerous intelligences study style model [40]. Conflicts in learning and thinking styles between mathematics teachers and students, or differences in learning level between scholars of math courses, have been eminent in abundant studies [41] [42] There may be some communication difficulty due to dissimilarities between the thinking levels of mathematical ideas by mathematics mentors & engineering students. Mathematics teaching at an abstract level for non-specialists has resulted in an issue of exchanging information [43]. The study's conclusion signifies that the activities were valuable in addressing students' learning styles and grant them to learn meliorate than they would do conventional teaching methods. Engineering students are thought to favor an experiential learning style, hence student-centered experiential learning ways with genuine-world issues like PBL are more suitable with their study style than classical conceptual procedures of teaching math.

FACTOR AFFECTING TRENDS IN MATHEMATICAL EDUCATION:

● ***The size of the Math association and of mathematics research action is growing exponentially***

It gets twice each 25 years or so. This experience has a number of results: the difficulty of observance along fresh results; the requirement of more effective mutual efforts between scholars; the problem of recognizing "core" mathematics (to be mastered at different steps); the requirement for better distribution of different ideas. In what way math study is ready for upcoming researchers and appliers of mathematics, forthcoming resolution makers and the briefed people for such alterations?

● ***Recent areas of application and their growing significance***

IT, methodical study of part of the material world, the economy, and approximately every area of human activity creates increasingly use of mathematics, and, possibly necessarily,people use sections of math, not just traditional applied math. How can we prepare our scholars to identify issues where math can assist for resolution?

● ***Modern gadget: computers and IT.***

It is possibly the best apparent new characteristic, and suitable way to make computers popular in development of study .However the effect of PCs on our daily life and research is also changing fast: in addition the draft of algorithms, experimentation, and potential in exemplification and imaginativeness, we use electronic-mail, deliberation groups, on-line encyclopedias and more internet assets.May education make use of these potential, maintain with the variety, and also educate students to adopt in beneficial ways?

NEW SETS OF MATHEMATICS ACTIVITY:

Apart as an explanation to the issues elevated over, abundant recent forms of mathematical pursuit are acquired meaningfully: algos, and programming, modelling, conjecturing, descriptive writing and speech. Whatever modern mathematical actions could and should be educated to scholars?

Algorithms and programming:

The conventional 2500-year aged example of mathematics study is characterizing approach, stating theorems and verifying them. Possibly least identified, but approximately aged, is the algorithm frame (think of the Euclidean Algorithm or Newton's procedures). Meantime various, these two approaches of accomplishment math powerfully related [44]. It is also apparent that PCs have grown the clarity and integrity of algorithm frames to a big present.

Algorithmic math (put into focus by computers, but existent and vital way earlier their growth) is not the opposition of the "theorem–proof type simple mathematics, whatever we call it structural. Comparatively it improves various classical branches of mathematics with new insight,advanced kinds of issues, and advanced ways to resolve these.Therefore,not algorithmic or structural math, but algorithmic and structural mathematics!

What make that indicate in mathstudy? While described before, math education should pursue,firstly to a few degrees, what arises in math study; here specifically in those (rare) cases though study conclusions fundamentally modify the entire structure of the subject. So set theory had to enter mathematics study.Algorithmic math is another one of these.

A vital assignment for mathematics educators of the near future (in college & school) is to establish an easy and united style of explaining and analysing algorithms. An approach that exhibits the mathematical ideas behind the design; that assist the progress of more determination; i,e to the point and classic would also be of very large assistance in overwhelm the neglect against algorithms that is generally perceived for the mentor and student.

Problems and Conjectures:

For a limited community, each one experiences what the absolute problems are. In any case, in a network of 100,000 individuals, issues must be perceived and announced in an exact manner. Unsuitably perceive issues of amazingness to an exhausting, extraneous conclusion. This augments the organization of assumption to the lineup of study conclusions. Conjecturing evolved into an art in the hands of the late Paul Erd˝os, who systematically specified more assumptions than possibly every mathematician earlier established together.throughout his assumption as part of his mathematical curve as much as his theorems. Of course, it is arduous to formulate what makes a good assumption. It is not difficult to agree that if an assumption is fine, one expects that its resolution should prior our knowledge considerably. Many mathematicians feel that this is the case when we can without any doubt identify the place of the assumptions, and its apparent answer, in the architecture of mathematics; but there are assumptions so unexpected, so completely beyond by present methods, that their resolution must carry something of a new entity.

In the teaching manner of mathematics which asserts finding (which individually discover the best), good mentors always challenge their students to plan presumptions, the main theorem or to the steps of authentication. At present time-exhausting, and there is a hazard such this activity too is eroding under the time pressure described before.It must be conserved and aided..

Mathematical Experiments

- Few regards, computers grant to turn math into an experimental subject. perfectly, math based on theory science, although to a certain extent a few conditions, experimentation is certified.
- For efficiency we test algos, when the resource necessity (time, space)
- Cryptographic and other computer security problems often depend on classical questions about the dispersion of best and same problems in number theory, and the answers to these queries often rely upon notoriously challenging problems in number theory, like the Riemann Hypothesis and its extensions. Unnecessary to say that in such practically critical questions, experiments must be made even if analytical answers would be excellent.
- Experimental mathematics is a good source of assumptions; a classical example is Gauss' discovery (not proof) of the Prime Number Theorem. In the modern examples of this, let me indicate the most systematic one: the graph-theoretic assumptions- generating program GRAFFITI by Fajtlowicz [45] [46].

Modelling:

To build up the best models is the many vital primary step in each profitable application of math. The act of modelling in study is well acknowledged [9], but its weight, respective to alternative material and the approach of educating them, are absolutely at issue. designing is a usual mutual process, where the mathematician should work collectively with engineers, biologists, economists, and lots of professionals finding advice from math. A likely way here is to associate teaching of mathematical designing with study in teamwork and professional communication.

An excellent illustration is the plan of study “Discrete Mathematical Modelling” in University of Washington [47]. The primary characteristics of such students, in the company of 2 or 3, should be finding real-life scenarios in their surroundings. They should establish a design, collect data, detect and code the algorithms that answer the primary query, and allow a presentation of the outcomes. The genuine-life issues raised are entirely broad in scope, from issues on favorite games to trying to guide all in their business, and few of the answers acquired sudden change to be completely beneficial.

Exposition and Popularization

The act of this action is growing very speedy in this field of mathematics community. In addition to the conventional way of explaining a good thesis, presently further requests for expositions, analysis, short courses, guidebooks and encyclopedias. Some conferences are mostly or exclusively committed to descriptive and survey-type talks; publishers much favor volumes of analysis articles to volumes of survey papers. Although complete recognition of descriptive tasks is quite deficient, the understanding of it is further acknowledged.

In another manner, math studies carry out some development of students for this. Math is a particularly not easy topic to talk about to outsiders. And needs lots of effort to educate scholars at all layers by means of giving presentations or explain about math they studied.

Discussion and Recommendation:

Conventionally, a behaviorist way of mathematics study has been accepted in abundant classrooms, demonstrated in educational teaching approach along an importance about agenda over comprehension and repetition learning of subject contentment over literacy [48] [49]. In such an environment, mathematics is again and again presented and left out the framework and network that could accommodate it, a level of coherency [7][49]. This has been analyzed as impacting adversely on students’ commitment along and satisfaction in the subject. Although different recent curriculum rectifies in the United States and Europe aim to address these shortcomings (National Council of Teachers of Math, 2008; NCCA., 2011; NGA Centre & CCSSO., 2010), the conventional way repeatedly remnant what is really implemented in classrooms [10][12].

This analysis of this work signifies that the use of digital mechanization that align with a more constructivist, epistemic ways may have the quantity to address such problems, ease realistic, problem-solving and collective ways to teach and learn, and provide coherency and framework for mathematics. In order to accomplish this, it’s analyzed that technology usage must not be slightly comprehended into traditional practice, but should be used in a significant, or transformative fashion. That is, the use of technology should be emphasized basically in locales that could not have been achieved without it. However, some authors have analyzed a shortfall in theory described to the integration of the inquiry-based ways and traditional instruction [12][50]. likewise, difficulties are advertised in changing the role of the teacher from adviser to facilitator, signifying that such a role can be challenging and arduous to implement in a traditional classroom setting, and pointing to a requirement for a structured way based on sound research Fullan et al., 2014 [51]. A number of authors have handled meta-analyses of the unification of technologies in school environments, with a specific focus on analyzing what does and does not work [8][50]. Drawing on their work, apparently the beneficial outcomes of innovation on learning were most grounded when joined with a constructivist, group based, venture based instructive way, and non-institutionalized evaluation approach. In step-up, larger positive effects on learning are analyzed.Through the students didn’t have 1 to 1 relationship by the technology (Means, 2010). Voogt and Pelgrum, 2005 analyzed that in favorable interventions the teachers act as organizers to the students, providing structure and aid and keeping track of their development.

2010 [52] points out that several teachers will only expend the effort required to integrate technology into their teaching practice at the point when they may see that there are huge advantages as far as learning results.In any case, current types of institutionalized, high-stakes testing and appraisal common in numerous nations, will in general spotlight on routine aptitudes, and not on the sorts of critical thinking, inventiveness and dynamic abilities that can be encouraged by the intelligent, open and available nature of innovation. Until proof is given that the utilization of innovation will be of advantage, and that the abilities that can be created through its utilization are esteemed in evaluation, it will stay hard to persuade instructors to change their training. In addition to the appropriate methods of teaching and learning, Donnelly et al.,2011 and Fullan et al., 2014 imply that for change to be successfully accomplished, teachers require resources, practical illustration and support from colleagues and management.

If it is admit that good exercise in technology-improve mathematics education combine a structured ways to activities that are changed by the use of digital devices, reassuring more survey, inquiry and collaboration, in which the teacher acts as a organizer of learning, then one might expect that this should be reflected in recent empirical research. This determination goals to examine the amount to which researchers are designing technology-improve learning actions that align with such usual procedures.

REFERENCES

1. The science of space, number, quantity, and arrangement, whose methods involve logical reasoning and usually the use of symbolic notation, and which includes geometry, arithmetic, algebra, and analysis. Oxford, November 16, 2019.
2. G.T. Kneebone, "Mathematical Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics: An Introductory Survey," Mathematics ... is simply the study of abstract structures, or formal patterns of connectedness, 196.
3. R. D. LaTorre, et al. "Calculus Concepts: An Informal Approach to the Mathematics of Change," Cengage Learning, Calculus is the study of change—how things change, and how quickly they change, 2011.
4. Ramana, "Applied Mathematics," Tata McGraw–Hill Education. The mathematical study of change, motion, growth or decay is calculus, 2007
5. Ziegler, M. Günter, "What Is Mathematics?". An Invitation to Mathematics: From Competitions to Research. Springer, 2011.
6. J. Vrugte, T. de Jong, S. Vandercruysse, P. Wouters, H. van Oostendorp, andJ. Elen, "How competition and heterogeneous collaboration interact in prevocational game-based mathematics education," Computers & Education, 2015.
7. C. Hoyles, "Engaging with mathematics in the digital age., Universidad di Costa Rica.
8. G. Oates, "Sustaining integrated technology in undergraduate mathematics," International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology.
9. P. Lameras, &N. Moumoutzis, "Towards the gamification of inquiry-based flipped teaching of mathematics a conceptual analysis and framework," International conference on interactive mobile communication technologies and learning (IMCL), Thessaloniki, Greece, 2015.
10. J. Contreras, "Where is the Treasure? Ask interactive geometry software!" Journal of Mathematics Education at Teachers College.
11. R. Noss, &C. Hoyles, "Windows on mathematical meanings: Learning cultures and computers," Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
12. K. Maaß, &M. Artigue, "Implementation of inquiry-based learning in day-to-day teaching: A synthesis," ZDM, 2013
13. M. Z. Mokhtar, R. A.Tarmizi, M. Ayub, &M. A. A. Tarmizi, "Enhancing calculus learning engineering students through problem-based learning," WSEAS Transactions on Advances in Engineering Education, 2010.
14. C. D. Roddick, "Differences in learning outcomes: calculus & Mathematica vs. traditional calculus. Primus," 2001.
15. J. M. Chang, "A practical approach to inquiry-based learning in linear algebra." International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 2011.
16. W. Maull, & J. Berry, "A questionnaire to elicit the mathematical concept images of engineering students." International Journal for Mathematics Education in Science and Technology, 2000.
17. E. Love, "Evaluating mathematical activity". In D. Pimm (Ed.), Mathematics, teachers and children, London: Hodder and Stoughton (1988).

18. H. Schoenfeld, "Exploration of students' mathematical beliefs and behavior." Journal for Research in Mathematics Education, 1989.
19. V. Berg, "Developing algebraic thinking in a community of inquiry (Unpublished PhD thesis)." University of Agder, Norway. (2009).
20. Jaworski, A. B Fuglestad, R. Bjuland, T. Breiteig, S. Goodchild, & B. Grevholm, "Learning communities in mathematics. Bergen: Caspar Forlag." 2007.
21. B. Ward, S. Campbell, M. Goodloe, A. J. Miller, et al Kleja, "Assessing a mathematical inquiry course: Do students gain an appreciation for mathematics? Primus," 2010.
22. C. J. Abaté, & K. Cantone, "An evolutionary approach to mathematics education: enhancing learning through contextual modification. Primus," 2005.
23. K. Matthews, P. Adams, & M. Goos, "Putting it into perspective: mathematics in the undergraduate science curriculum." International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 2009.
24. S. Pennell, P. Avitabile, & J. White, An engineering-oriented approach to the introductory differential equations course. Primus, (2009).
25. V. B. Niu, & W. L. Shing, "Implementing problem-basedlearningin mathematical studies using graphing calculator and real time data streamer." In Fifteenth Asian Technology Conference in Mathematics ACTM 2010,
26. T. Reid & S. King, "Pendulum motion and differential equations." 2009.
27. N. Aydin, "Enhancing undergraduate mathematics curriculum via coding theory and cryptography." 2009.
28. M. Abdulwahed, & Z.K. Nagy, "The TriLb, a novel ICT based model of laboratory education. Computers & Education," 2011.
29. S. Henderson & P. Broadbridge, "Mathematics for 21st century engineering students. In Proceedings of the 2007.
30. P. Turner, "A predictor-corrector process with refinement for first-year calculus transition support." 2008.
31. L. S. Vygotsky, "Mind in society: the development of higher psychological processes. Cambridge: Harvard University Press." 1978.
32. B. Jaworski & J. Matthews "Developing teaching of mathematics to first year engineering students. Teaching Mathematics and its Applications," 2011.
33. J. Fielding-Wells, & K. Makar, "Student (dis)engagement in mathematics," In AARE 2008 International education conference Brisbane: Changing climates: education for sustainable futurespp. 1–10, 2013.
34. G. Howson, & J. P. Kahane, "The popularisation of mathematics. Cambridge University Press." 1990.
35. M. Z. Mokhtar, R. A. Tarmizi, M. Ayub& M. A. A. Tarmizi, "Enhancing calculus learning engineering students through problem-based learning." WSEAS Transactions on Advances in Engineering Education, 2010.
36. S. Hekimoglu, & E. Kittrell, "Challenging students' beliefs about mathematics: the use of documentary to alter perceptions of efficacy." 2010.
37. R. M. Felder & L. K. Silverman, "Learning and teaching styles in engineering education. Engineering Education," 1988.
38. B. McCarthy, "The 4MAT system: teaching to learning styles with right-left mode techniques," Barrington: EXCEL Inc, 1986.
39. Fleming & Mills, "Attempted Validation of the Scores of the VARK: Learning Styles Inventory with Multitrait–Multimethod Confirmatory Factor Analysis Models" 1992.
40. H. Gardner, "Frames of mind: the theory of multiple intelligences," New York: Basic Books, 1983.
41. R. Savitz and F. R. Savitz, "Experience Matters: Innovative Techniques Add up to Mathematical Achievement," 2010.
42. A. S.Troelstra, D Van Dalen, "Constructivism in mathematics," 2014.
43. S. Abramovich & A. Grinshpan, "Teaching mathematics to non- mathematics majors through applications." 2008.
44. L. Lovasz, "Algorithmic mathematics: an old aspect with a new emphasis," in: Proc. 6th ICME, Budapest, J. Bolyai Math. Soc, 1988.
45. S. Fajtlowicz,"On conjectures of Graffiti," Discrete Math. 72 1988.
46. S. Fajtlowicz, "Postscript to Fully Automated Fragments of Graph Theory. Available at: http://math.uh.edu/~siemion/postscript.pdf
47. Discrete Mathematical Modeling, undergraduate course at the University of Washongton, http://www.math.washington.edu/ goebel/381/
48. L. R., Albert, & R. Kim, "Developing creativity through collaborative problem solving," Journal of Mathematics Education at Teachers College,2013.
49. O. M. Ayinde, "Impact of instructional object-based card game on learning Mathematics: Instructional design nettle," Middle Eastern & African Journal of Educational Research, 2014.
50. Q. Li & X. Ma, "A meta-analysis of the effects of computer technology on school students' mathematics learning," Educational Psychology Review,2010.
51. M. Fullan, & M. Langworthy, "A rich seam: How new pedagogies find deep learning," Vol. 100, London: Pearson, 2014.